

# Healdsburg City Council Meeting

August 5, 2024



# City Manager's Report

City Council, August 5, 2024



## Public Comment on Non Agenda Items

Comentarios del público sobre puntos no incluidos en la agenda de la reunión

03:00

Start Stop Reset mins: 3 secs: 0 type: None ▾

 Breaktime for PowerPoint by Flow Simulation Ltd.

Pin controls when stopped

# Public Safety Camera System

City Council, August 5, 2024



## Recommended Action

Adopt a Resolution approving a professional services agreement with Flock Safety for an amount not to exceed \$45,500 annually with a onetime installation cost of \$11,250 and authorizing the City Manager to execute the original contract for an amount not to exceed \$102,250 for a 2-year term.

## Background

- At the November 20, 2023 City Council meeting, staff presented Council with public safety enhancement options for camera solutions that integrate into the ALPR system the city currently uses.
- City Council requested that a public safety camera program be agenized for additional information and discussion.

## Community Engagement

- On March 18, 2024, staff brought forth a draft proposal for camera deployment. Council requested a community meeting to be held by staff and a final proposal submitted at a future meeting.
- Staff held a community meeting on April 17<sup>th</sup> where 2 community members attended. During the meeting the Public Safety Camera Program was presented and the community members had an opportunity to provide input.

## Uses

- Detect and deter crime
- Safeguard against potential threats to the public
- Help manage emergency response during natural and man-made disasters
- Assist city officials in providing services to the community

## Past Experience

- Visibly placed portable systems have successfully been used in the past in problematic areas in cooperation with area residents or businesses
- Police staff have received inquiries from community members about cameras to mitigate criminal activity at community meetings

## Deployment Considerations

- In considering a potential deployment plan for public safety cameras, staff has looked at public areas that have an expectation of safety while being used by the community as well as criminal activity over the past 2 years.
- Staff also took into consideration Council feedback and community input.

# Identified Locations

## Public Parks


- Railroad Park (1 Camera)
- Giorgi Park (2 Cameras)
- Gibbs Park (1 Camera)
- West Plaza Park (1 Camera)

## Public Pathways/ Parking Lots

- Foss Creek Pathway (W. Grant St to Grove St.) (4 Cameras)
- West Plaza Parking Lot (2 Cameras)

## Intersections

- Healdsburg Ave./ Front St.
- Roundabout
- Healdsburg Ave/ Dry Creek
- Dry Creek/ Grove St.  
(1 Camera at each location)



# Policy

- Recognizes and protects constitutional standards of privacy
- Access for legitimate law enforcement and public safety purposes
- Purposes to include support of specific and active criminal investigations, support of traffic related investigations, response to and review of critical incidents and natural disasters
- Will not be used to invade the privacy individuals or observe areas where a reasonable expectation of privacy exists

# Policy

(Cont.)

- 30 Day retention
- Requires proper logging in and out of the system by users, ensuring accurate auditing of the system
- Annual Audits

# Privacy

- Not to be used to invade privacy, harass, intimidate, or discriminate based on protected characteristics, or infringe on First Amendment Rights
- Video only, no audio
- No facial recognition technology
- All areas, with the exceptions of intersections, would be marked with unobstructed signs to inform the public that the area is being monitored by public safety cameras.

## Cost

- 15 Cameras needed to cover the 10 recommended locations
- \$3,000 per electric camera/ \$3,250 per solar camera with one time installation cost of \$750
- Total initial cost of \$56,750 with annual recurring cost of \$45,500
- \$3,000 estimated for infrastructure development needed in 3 locations along Foss Creek Pathway
- FY 2024/2025 & 2025/2026 budgets include sufficient appropriations to absorb the cost of this project

## Recommended Action

Adopt a Resolution approving a professional services agreement with Flock Safety for an amount not to exceed \$45,500 annually with a onetime installation cost of \$11,250 and authorizing the City Manager to execute the original contract for an amount not to exceed \$102,250 for a 2-year term.

# Public Comment

## Comentarios del público

03:00

mins:  secs:  type:

 Breaktime for PowerPoint by Flow Simulation Ltd.

Pin controls when stopped

# Resolution in Opposition of Measure J

City Council

August 5, 2024



## Recommended Action

- Consider a Resolution opposing the proposed County Prohibition on Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations (CAFOS), also known as Measure J on the November 5, 2024, Sonoma County Ballot.

# Background Information

- The Sonoma County Prohibition on Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations Initiative, designated as Measure J, is a voter-initiated campaign to phase out existing and prohibit future Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations (CAFOs) in most unincorporated areas of Sonoma County.
- At the June 3, 2024 City Council meeting, there was a Council majority to place an item on a future Council agenda opposing the proposed ballot measure.

# What Does the Proposed Measure Do?

- According to the title and summary prepared by the-County Counsel, this measure, if adopted by the voters, would amend the Sonoma County Code to:
  - Phase-out existing and prohibit future Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations (CAFO) in all zoning districts in the unincorporated areas of the County outside of the coastal zone.
    - Existing operations would have three years to phase out operations.
  - Require the Agricultural Commissioner to establish Best Management Practices to phase-out CAFO operations, developed in collaboration with a California-based humane society and/or a society for the prevention of cruelty to animals.
  - Require the Agricultural Commissioner to present an annual report on ordinance compliance to the Board of Supervisors at a noticed public meeting.
  - Require the Agricultural Commissioner to create a job-retraining program for CAFO

# Economic Impact

- The Sonoma County Economic Development Board released a memorandum regarding the projected economic impact of the proposed ordinance.
- The report identified 60 agricultural businesses within the unincorporated portions of the County that would be impacted by the ordinance if Measure J were to pass.
- Approximately 450 employees could be impacted directly and additional businesses and employees that sell to or do business with the agricultural businesses could be impacted as well.

## Other Local Jurisdictions who have opposed the Measure

- City of Petaluma
- County of Sonoma
- City of Sebastopol (Tentatively scheduled to go to their Council in September)

## Recommended Action

- Consider a Resolution opposing the proposed County Prohibition on Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations (CAFOS), also known as Measure J on the November 5, 2024, Sonoma County Ballot.

# Questions



# Public Comment

## Comentarios del público

03:00

mins:  secs:  type:

 Breaktime for PowerPoint by Flow Simulation Ltd.

Pin controls when stopped